IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION NO : 510 of 1993

Between:

1. Mohd Zabibullan S/o.Late Mohd.Nourallah, R/o.20-2-831 Kaman Sukhi Meer Dood Bowli , Hyderabad.

2. V.V.Vara Prasada Rao S/o.Narayana, R/o. Dishuknagar , Hyderabad.

3. M.Ishq Akbar Khan S/o. Sultan Mohiuddin, R/o.18-12-419/1 H.B.Nagar , Kanchan Bagh Hyderabad.

4. Syed Ahsam S/o. Syed Khaja, R/o.18-12-419/A , Madannapet Colony Near Yakutpura , Hyderabad.

5. Mohd.mujtaba Hussain S/o.Mohd.Hussain, R/o.H.No.17-5-238 , Dabeerpura Hyderabad.

6. Ahmed Akramulla Shareef s/o.Mohd.Manzoor Shareef, R/o. H.No.20-3-396, Moosa Bowli Hyderabad.

7. Mir Jaffar Ali Khan S/o.Late Mir Moinuddin Ali Khan, R/o..H.No.21-4-21 Hussaini Alam , Hyderabad.

8. Mohd.Mustaq Ahmed S/o.Mohd Yousuf, R/o.H.No.18-14-49/1 G.M.Nagar kanchan Bagh , Hyderabad.

9. Mir Mahaboob Ali Khan S/o.Mir Mahaboob Ali Khan, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Bar Council of state of A.P Rep by its Secretary
Hyderabad.
2 The Bar Council of India, Rep by its Secretary
New delhi., Opposite to Supreme Court Premises.

3 Varanaseya sanskrit Viswa Vidyalaya Rep byits Registrar
Varanasi.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, direction or order preferably in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the petitioners enrolments made by the Bar Council of A.P, the 1st respondent herein, as Advocates are valid and that they are eligible by their educational law qualifications declaring the impugned notices Dt: 7-1-1993 as arbitrary, invalid and inoperative and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: MRs. S.NANDA.

The Court made the following :

JUDGMENT:

The issue involved in this writ petition is identical to the issue involved in W.P.No.16206 of 1992. In the circumstances, this writ petition is also dismissed for the same reasons assigned in W.P.No.16206 of 1992.

14-10-2004

knk

To

1. The Secretary, Bar Council of state of A.P. Hyderabad.
2. The Secretary, Bar Council of India, New Delhi., Opposite to Supreme Court Premises.
3. The Registrar, Varanaseya sanskrit Viswa Vidyalaya, Varanasi.
4. Two CD copies.